

REMARKS

The comments of the applicant below are each preceded by related comments of the examiner (in small, bold type).

**Claims 1-22, 24-51 are allowed over prior art made of record. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:**

**As to claim 1, examiner agrees with applicant's argument that "None of Hapner, Cheriton, Somani, or Fleischman, alone or in combination, described or would have made obvious the features of claim 1."**

**Claims 2-22, 24-51 are depended on claim 1; therefore, claims 2-22 and 24-51 are allowed under the same reason as to claim 1.**

**Claims 57-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vartti et al. (Patent No. 5,678,026).**


The applicant acknowledges the examiner's indication that claims 1-22 and 24-51 are patentable. The applicant assumes that the examiner also considers claims 52-56 patentable for at least similar reasons.

The applicant does not concede that there are not other good reasons why these claims, and others, are not patentable.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing attorney docket 11811-0008002.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4/29/09



David L. Feigenbaum  
Reg. No. 30,378

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945